



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,964	11/21/2003	William Dale Jones	SSI-08000	4419	
28960 73	590 03/09/2005		EXAM	INER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			KOCZO JR,	KOCZO JR, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	10/718,964	JONES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejection. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E.	xaminer.				
	D) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		,			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02-27-04</u>. 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following structure must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The electrical controller of claim 3.

The bearings of claim 6.

The filter of claim 16.

The alternative flow path of claim 20.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 to 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no description of structure for diverting a portion of the fluid through the pump assembly and then back to the pump inlet.

Regarding claims 5 and 30, there is no proper identification of the product referred to as "Cronidur®". That is, what is its chemical designation? (see MPEP 608.01(v)).

Regarding claims 63 and 31, there is no description of the structure of and definition of "hybrid bearings" and "full complement bearings".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 to 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3746

In claim 1, "corrosion resistant bearings" is an unbased comparison and is therefore indefinite.

Claim 1 is furthermore incomplete since no structure is recited for directing the pump fluid to the motor.

Claims 6 and 31 recite the various bearings in an alternative manner which is indefinite.

Applicant may consider reciting these bearings in Markush form.

Claims 5, 7, 20 and 30 contain the trademark/trade name Cronidur® or PEEKTM. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe the bearing races and the stator sleeve, respectively and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Thorough revision of the claims is required in order to render them definite in form according to the statute.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3746

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 4, 6, and 8 to 19, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pezzillo in view of Harland and Lungu.

Pezzillo discloses a motor driven pump wherein the pump fluid circulates through the motor and back to the pump through filters 89 and 87. The stator and the rotor are encased by non-magnetic sleeves 44 and 64, respectively, made of stainless steel. However, Pezzillo does not specify that the motor is a DC motor, that the rotor is potted in epoxy, and that the stator sleeve is a polymer sleeve. Harland discloses a motor driven pump wherein the pump fluid circulates through the motor and wherein the rotor is potted in epoxy (col. 2, 1. 60). The epoxy integrates the rotor laminations to provide structural integrity. Lungu discloses a brushless DC motor having a controller, which motor drives a pump wherein the stator is sealed from the fluid via a polymer sleeve in order to prevent the possibly corrosive fluid from entering the stator windings. Stainless steel and polymers are equivalent to the extent that both are resistant to corrosion. The advantage of brushless DC motors is that they are speed controllable and do not require brushes and commutators. In view of these teachings, it would have been obvious to provide the motor of Pezzillo with control means for operating it as a brushless DC motor, to embed the rotor in epoxy, and to substitute a corrosion resistant polymer sleeve for the stainless steel sleeve 44.

The specific dimensions as recited in claims 9 and 10 are mere matters of design choice depending on the desired throughput of the pump. No unexpected or unobvious result is disclosed which can be attributed to these dimensions.

Art Unit: 3746

The pump of Pezzillo, as modified, is inherently capable of attaining a speed of 60,000 rpm (claim 11), of attaining a pressure of 1,500 to 3,000 psi (claim 12), and of pumping supercritical carbon dioxide, alone or admixed with an additive or solvent. These limitations do not define structurally over Pezzillo, but merely recite the intended use of the pump and the desired manner of operation of the pump.

The prior art could not be applied to claims 5, 7 and 20 to 33 because the limiting effect of the trademark/trade names Cronidur® and PEEKTM could not be ascertained.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached at 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746